12 common pitfalls of Chaos Engineering teams

Shan Konduru

#1 – Lack of Clear Objectives

Without a clear understanding of why Chaos Engineering is being adopted and what specific goals it aims to achieve, the team may struggle to prioritize its efforts and measure its impact.

#2 – Overemphasis on Chaos

Focusing solely on chaos experiments without considering broader reliability and infrastructure improvements can lead to chaos for the sake of chaos. Chaos Engineering should complement other practices like monitoring, testing, and automation.

#3 – Inadequate Training

Chaos Engineering requires a specific skill set and knowledge. Without proper training, team members may not conduct experiments effectively or may overlook important factors, leading to unreliable results.

#4 – Resistance to Change

Some team members or stakeholders may resist the idea of intentionally causing failures in production systems. It's crucial to address these concerns and build a culture of trust and collaboration.

#5 – Incomplete Documentation

Chaos experiments should be well-documented, including the goals, procedures, and expected outcomes. Inadequate documentation can lead to confusion, ineffective experiments, and difficulty in replicating results.

#6 – Overly Complex Experiments

Starting with overly complex or ambitious experiments can overwhelm the team and lead to unreliable results. It's better to begin with simpler scenarios and gradually increase complexity as the team gains experience.

#7 – Neglecting Ethical Considerations

Chaos experiments should always consider the ethical and legal aspects. Avoid causing harm to customers, violating privacy regulations, or breaching security protocols during experiments.

#8 – Not Measuring Impact

Without proper metrics and key performance indicators (KPIs), it can be challenging to assess the impact of Chaos Engineering efforts. Teams should define relevant metrics and track them over time to gauge improvements in system reliability.

#9 – Inconsistent Implementation

Chaos Engineering should be a consistent practice integrated into the development and operations workflow. Inconsistent implementation can lead to sporadic results and a lack of trust in the process.

#10 – Not Learning from Failures

Chaos Engineering is not just about causing failures but also about learning from them. Failing to analyze and learn from incidents and experiments means missing out on valuable insights that can improve system resilience.

#11 – Lack of Executive Support

Without buy-in from top-level management, a Chaos Engineering initiative may struggle to get the necessary resources and support. Ensure that leadership understands the benefits and importance of the practice.

#12 – Burnout and Overwork

Constantly running chaos experiments can be taxing on the team. Avoid burnout by setting reasonable schedules, rotating responsibilities, and prioritizing well-being.

Summary

Starting a Chaos Engineering team can be a valuable initiative to improve system reliability and resilience, but like any new endeavor, there can be pitfalls to watch out for. To mitigate these pitfalls, it's important to approach Chaos Engineering as a collaborative and learning-focused effort, continuously adapting and improving as you gain experience.

Thank You

Shan Konduru

Shan.Konduru@Cigniti.com